

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/659,755	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DIXON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> RUSSELL KALLIS	<b>Art Unit</b> 1638	

**All Participants:**

(1) RUSSELL KALLIS.

(2) Robert Hanson.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 December 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An Examiner's amendment to bring claims into condition for allowance. For 121 purposes Claims 40-45 are considered patentably distinct and therefore not subject to rejoinder.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Russell Kallis/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)